

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

PETN, UNDER ARBITRATION ACT. No 10 of 1998

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble ACTG.CHIEF JUSTICE MR. C.K.THAKKAR

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

JAYESH TRADING CORPORATION

Versus

UNION OF INDIA WESTERN RAILWAY

Appearance:

MR SANDEEP N BHATT for Petitioner
SERVED BY RPAD - (N) for Respondent No. 1
MR JC SHETH for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : ACTG.CHIEF JUSTICE MR. C.K.THAKKAR

Date of decision: 17/12/1999

ORAL JUDGEMENT

On November 5, 1999, I had passed the following order:

" On November 13, 1998, Honourable Chief Justice
passed an order operative part of which reads as
under:

`Having heard the counsel on either side, the matter can be referred to the arbitrator. The matter is adjourned for further steps'.

Today, both the learned counsel stated that arbitrator to be named by the General Manager of Western Railway may be appointed. It is, therefore, directed that General Manager, Western Railway may name one arbitrator. Final order will be passed within two weeks of receipt of the writ."

In view of the above order, nothing is required to be done in the matter and the petition must be treated to have been disposed of. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of. No costs.

--

parekh